

## Mark schemes

## Q1.

- (a) between  $s = 7.5$  m and  $s = 15$  m ✓

*Tick in 2nd box only*

1

- (b) Use of  $\Delta E_P = mgh$  ✓

*Use of: rearrangement where  $m$  would be subject or substitution.*

*Condone **one** error in substitution.*

$(m = )65(.0)$  (kg) ✓

*Calculator display =*

*For  $g = 9.81 \text{ ms}^{-2} = 64.96772001$*

*For  $g = 9.8 \text{ ms}^{-2} = 65.0340136054421$*

*Alternative method for an ECF from **part (a)** (tick in 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> boxes).*

• Use of  $E_k = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$

**OR**

*Read-off for  $v = 15.4 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  (Acceptable range  $15.2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  to  $15.6 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ )*

•  $m = 80.6$  (kg)

*(Acceptable range 78.57 kg to 82.76 kg)*

2

- (c) Max 2 from: ✓✓

- Energy difference ( $E$ ) =  $9.56 - 7.71 = 1.85$  (kJ) ✓

*Accept correct energy conservation statement for **MP1***

*For example:*

$\Delta E_P = E_K + \text{energy stored (in rope)}$

- Use of  $E = \frac{1}{2}k\Delta L^2$

*Use of:*

*Rearrangement to make  $\Delta L$  the subject or by substitution.*

*Condone use of their  $E$  and **one other error** in substitution. (allow 9.56 (kJ) or 7.71(kJ) for  $E$ )*

*Condone use of*

$E = \frac{1}{2}F\Delta L$  and  $F = k\Delta L$  **OR**

$E = \frac{1}{2}F\Delta L$  and  $F = mg$

With their  $F$  and their  $E$  seen in  $E = \frac{1}{2}F\Delta L$

$$\Delta L = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times \text{their energy difference}}{k}}$$

Must be an energy difference. Condone POT  
Do not accept 9.56 (kJ) or 7.71(kJ) for their energy difference.

$$\Delta L = 5.8(0) \text{ m } \checkmark$$

Max 1 mark for:

$$637.65 = 110 \times \Delta L \text{ giving } \Delta L = 5.8 \text{ m}$$

must be done by considering energy transfers.

**OR**

answer without working.

(d) (Tension =) 640 (N)  $\checkmark$

Potential ECF from:

- $m$  in **part (b)** where use  $T=mg$
- $\Delta L$  in **part (c)** (typical ecf answer = 1300 (N) where use  $T=k\Delta L$

Reason:

Idea that the resultant force / acceleration is upwards (in opposite direction to motion) for tension greater than this value.

**OR**

Idea that the resultant force / acceleration is downwards (in same direction as motion) for tension less than this value

**OR**

Resultant force / acceleration is zero (when kinetic energy is at its maximum.)

**OR**

Tension is directly proportional to the extension / (rope obeys) Hooke's law.

$\checkmark$

For two marks:

Reason must be consistent with any working seen.

Insufficient to state that tension = weight at maximum kinetic energy.

Apply list rules to the reason.

If use  $F=\Delta k$  without further support in their reason can score max 1 mark.

e.g. Each term to be defined

- (e) Use of  $k = \frac{EA}{L}$  to show  $k$  is same for both ropes ✓
- Accept  
 $1.2 E = \frac{k \times 1.2 L}{A} \Rightarrow 1.2 E = \frac{k \times 1.2 L}{A} \Rightarrow E = \frac{k \times L}{A}$   
 Or equivalent  
 Allow use of  $k = 110 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$  in working.

1

- (f) Yes:
- Must have correct deduction for 3 marks.*

MAX 2 from: ✓✓

- (Second) rope's (unstretched) length is greater.
- Has a greater velocity before rope begins to stretch (for second rope).
- Extension of each rope is same (when tension = weight.)
- Work done in stretching rope is same (in travelling to max velocity) / energy stored in rope is same
- Total distance fallen to reach max velocity is greater (for second rope)
- Total distance fallen (to max velocity) = unstretched length + same extension
- Idea of longer time in free-fall

Correct use of principle of conservation of energy **or** correct use of Newton's 2nd law ✓

**Conservation of energy:**

*Gains more kinetic energy before work done by tension becomes greater than work done by gravity.*

**Newton's 2nd law:**

*Gains more velocity before acceleration's direction becomes opposite to motion's direction.*

3

[12]

**Q2.**

- (a) value in range  $2.9 \times 10^4$  to  $3.0 \times 10^4$  (N) ✓

*Use of data from any point (plotted or using their line or using their B for brass) is acceptable*

1

- (b) smooth curve through at least 4 saltires <sub>1a</sub>✓

<sub>1a</sub>✓ *Reject thick or discontinuous lines*

<sub>1a</sub>✓ *can be awarded if no credit gained in* <sub>1b</sub>✓ *or* <sub>2b</sub>✓

correct read off at 1.60 mm, leading to answer in range 58 to 64 ( $\text{kg mm}^{-2}$ )

<sub>2a</sub>✓

<sub>2a</sub>✓ *2 or 3 sf values only*

**OR**

use of  $B = \frac{\text{their } F}{\pi \times g \times 10 \times 1.6}$  <sub>1b</sub>✓

<sub>1b</sub>✓ *Condone use of D and h in metres if also seen (and penalised) in part (a)*

consistent calculation of B <sub>2b</sub>✓

<sub>2b</sub>✓ *2 or 3 sf values only*

<sub>2b</sub>✓ *Their B should be*  $\frac{\text{their } F}{493}$

2

- (c) uses  $B = \frac{F}{\pi g D h}$  to:

evaluate  $h$ , and compare to radius/diameter of steel sphere

**OR**

evaluate (minimum value of)  $B$  based on radius/diameter of steel sphere, and compare to 5 ( $\text{kg mm}^{-2}$ ) <sub>1</sub>✓

<sub>1</sub>✓ *Expect  $h = 19 \text{ mm}$*

<sub>1</sub>✓ *Condone 'steel ball will be completely pushed into the lead' for comparison*

<sub>1</sub>✓ *Reject references to graph scale e.g. 'h scale only goes up to 3.5 mm on graph'*

reduce  $F$

**OR**

increase  $D$  <sub>2</sub>✓

<sub>2</sub>✓ *Condone 'use a steel sphere with  $D > 19 \text{ mm}$ ' or 'use a bigger sphere'.*

2

(d) travelling microscope

**OR**

micrometer / screw gauge

**OR**

digital vernier calliper ✓

1

(e)  $d$  is (always) larger (than  $h$ ) <sub>1a</sub>✓

so percentage / % uncertainty is smaller <sub>1b</sub>✓

*1 mark for an advantage AND 1 mark for a relevant explanation. No credit for an explanation without the relevant advantage.*

*Allow reverse arguments throughout e.g. 'h is (always) smaller than d'*

**OR**

$d$  can be measured in different directions <sub>2a</sub>✓

so can obtain an average <sub>2b</sub>✓

<sub>2a</sub>✓ *Allow 'can take multiple readings of  $d$ ' or 'h can only be measured once'*

<sub>2b</sub>✓ *Allow 'can identify anomalous readings' or 'can reduce the effect of random error'*

**OR**

idea that readings for  $d$  are clearer to judge (than for  $h$ ) <sub>3a</sub>✓

so measurement is closer to true value / more accurate <sub>3b</sub>✓

<sub>3a</sub>✓ *Allow 'difficult to see where the centre of indentation is for  $h$ ' or  $w_{tte}$ .*

<sub>3a</sub>✓ *Allow 'easier to define  $d$ '. Reject 'easier to measure  $d$ '.*

<sub>3b</sub>✓ *Allow idea that parallax error can be reduced.*

2

[8]

**Q3.**

- (a) use of  $\rho = \frac{m}{V}$  AND  $V = Al$  <sub>1✓</sub>

260 (m) <sub>2✓</sub>

<sub>1✓</sub> Expect to see  $V = 2.5 \text{ m}^3$  or total  $V = 5.0 \text{ m}^3$

2

- (b) calculates total tension of  $3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$  <sub>1✓</sub>

$F = T - W$  seen **OR** subtracts a weight from tension <sub>2✓</sub>

uses  $F = ma$  <sub>3✓</sub>

0.28 or 0.29 ( $\text{m s}^{-2}$ ) <sub>4✓</sub>

*Expected values seen:*

*Total mass =  $3.17 \times 10^5 \text{ kg}$*

*Load weight =  $2.75 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$*

*Cable weight =  $3.63 \times 10^5 \text{ N}$*

*Total weight =  $3.11 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$*

*Resultant force =  $9.02 \times 10^4 \text{ N}$*

<sub>4✓</sub> Calculator values are: 0.28464 (using  $g = 9.81$ )

and 0.29464 (using  $g = 9.8$ )

4

- (c) calculates stress per cable (167 MPa) **OR** breaking force for one cable ( $8.5 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$ ) <sub>1✓</sub>

*Calculations for <sub>1✓</sub> may be seen in response to <sub>2✓</sub>*

concludes that system operates safely because: <sub>2✓</sub>

$$8.5 \times 10^6 \text{ N} < (3 \times 1.6 \times 10^6) \text{ N}$$

**OR**

$$(3 \times 167) \text{ MPa} < 890 \text{ MPa, or } 167 \text{ MPa} < \frac{890}{3} \text{ MPa}$$

$$\text{N.B. } \frac{890}{3} = 297$$

**OR**

$$3 < \frac{890}{167} \text{ or } 3 < \frac{8.5}{1.6}$$

$$\text{N.B. } \frac{890}{167} = 5.3 \text{ and } \frac{8.5}{1.6} = 5.3$$

2

(d) Max 3 from:  $1\checkmark 2\checkmark 3\checkmark$

correctly takes into account energy transfer efficiency  $a\checkmark$

$a\checkmark$  760 MJ  $\times$  0.85 gives 646 MJ of useful energy from storage system. Condone POT error.

$a\checkmark$  can be given for stating that at 100% efficiency the storage system would provide 760 MJ.

determines a relevant area of graph between 10:00 and 14:00  $b\checkmark$

$b\checkmark$  for dashed/demand line: 11.5 'squares' = 1150 kW h; for solid/output line: 9 'large squares' = 900 kW h; between dashed and solid: 2.5 'large squares' = 250 kW h

conversion of energy unit (kW h to J or vice versa)  $c\checkmark$

$c\checkmark$  Expect: 1 'small square' = 14.4 MJ; 1 'large square' = 360 MJ; 1150 kW h = 4.14 GJ; 900 kW h = 3.24 GJ; 250 kW h = 900 MJ

Award  $b\checkmark$  and  $c\checkmark$  for any area given in J.

quantitative comparison of their energy supply (turbine + storage capacity) to their energy demand or their energy deficit versus their storage capacity

$d\checkmark$

$d\checkmark$  Allow 760 MJ for their storage capacity.

concludes that demand cannot be met, based on comparison of:

4.14 GJ with 3.89 GJ

**OR**

900 MJ with 646 MJ  $4\checkmark$

demand = 4.14 GJ; supply (turbine+storage) = 3.24 + 0.646 GJ = 3.89 GJ

deficit (demand - turbine supply) = 4.14 GJ - 3.24 GJ = 900 MJ; storage system supply = 646 MJ

**Q4.**

- (a) Evidence of appropriate use of Figure 1 e.g.

$$105 \times 10^6 \div 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$$

*Some evidence that Figure 1 is used:*

*calculation based on a point on line between 75 MPa and 125 MPa*

*OR calculation from point on straight line extended  
OR*

*Use of triangle from more than half of the linear section.*

leading to an answer in the range  $1.38$  to  $1.42 \times 10^{11}$  Pa ✓

*Allow 2 sf answer  $1.4 \times 10^{11}$  (Pa).*

1

- (b) Idea that wire undergoes only (very) small (increase in) strain beyond the linear section before fracture ✓

*Reject idea that there is **no** increase in strain.*

*Condone 'extension' or '(plastic) deformation' for 'strain'.*

*Condone 'shortly after' for 'beyond'*

*Accept: does not show 'necking' before fracture*

*Accept: fracture occurs very near the limit of proportionality (condone 'elastic limit').*

*Accept references to a particular value of strain e.g.  
 $9 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $12.7 \times 10^{-4}$*

1

- (c) Evidence of determination of total load or load on one wire ✓

(halves load)

Use of  $E = \frac{(\text{their } F) \times L}{A \times \Delta L}$  ✓

$$\Delta L = 1.1(4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ (m)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{Total load} = (4.4 + 16.0) \times 9.8(1) = 200(.1) \text{ N}$$

*Allow 'g' for 9.8(1)*

*Expect to see  $F = 100 \text{ N}$  and*

*$A = 5.03 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2$ . Condone use of  $d$  in calculation of cross-sectional area  $A$  in MP2.*

*Or separate calculations using  $\sigma = F \div A$ ,  $E = \sigma \div \text{strain}$ ,  $\text{strain} = \Delta L \div L$*

*Condone POT error in MP2.*

3



(d) Evidence of extension/strain in each wire is the same  $_1✓$

Substitutes data leading to  $F_a = 1.33 F_s$   $_2✓$

Calculates  $F_s$  or  $F_a$   $_3✓$

Evidence of an attempt at a moment equation  $_4✓$

Distance = 1.18 m  $✓_5$

$$\Delta L = \{FL \div AE\} \text{ steel} = \{FL \div AE\} \text{ aluminium} \{F \div d^2 E\}$$

$$\text{steel} = \{F \div d^2 E\} \text{ aluminium} \quad _1✓$$

$$\frac{F_s}{0.8^2 \times 210} = \frac{F_a}{1.6^2 \times 70}$$

$$F_a = 1.33 F_s \text{ OR } F_s = 0.752 F_a \quad _2✓$$

$$1.33 F_s + F_s = 200 \text{ N}$$

$$F_s = 86 \text{ N}, F_a = 114 \text{ N} \quad _3✓$$

*Attempt to take moments about A or B or other suitable point, expect to see  $16.0gx = 228 - 4.4g$   $✓_4$*

*Note that an answer of 1.14 m comes from not taking into account the weight of the beam*

*Award **max 4** for this approach.*

*ECF for MP2 and MP3 in MP4*

5

[10]

**Q5.**

- (a) place mirror behind ruler
- $_1\checkmark$

*for  $_1\checkmark$  do not insist on contact between mirror and ruler*

1

adjust position (of eye / head) until pin hides / lines up with its own reflection / image  $_2\checkmark$

*condone use of (non-hypotenuse) edge of set-square to define horizontal plane  $_1\checkmark$*

*adjust position until horizontal edge of set square meets/is touching pin or wtte  $_2\checkmark$*

*if no other mark given award  $_{12}\checkmark = 1$  max for 'read value at eye level' OR move (clamped) ruler closer to pin*

*give credit for any relevant annotation to Figure 1 or in additional sketch*

1

- (b) valid strategy using apparatus in
- Figure 2**
- :

$y$  (as the dependent variable) measured (or wtte) for different values of one independent variable (only  $L$  or  $m$  are acceptable)  $_1\checkmark$

*for  $_1\checkmark$  must refer to variables only using the symbols and/or terms given in (a);*

*accept 'weight' /  $mg$  as independent variable*

*condone mock table as intent /  $y$  = 'extension'*

1

identifies the correct control variable (besides  $w$  and  $t$ )  $_2\checkmark$

*for  $_2\checkmark$   $L$  = control variable if  $m$  = independent variable OR  $m$  = control variable if  $L$  = independent variable;*

*if  $L$  is being varied and  $m = 250\text{ g}$  is stated, this can be taken as  $m$  = control variable and therefore known;*

*take a similar approach if  $m$  is being varied but in this case  $L$  must have a **quoted value** that is  $\leq 30\text{ cm}$ ;*

*for more than one independent variable, eg variation of both  $m$  and  $L$   $_{12}\text{XX}$  but allow ECF for  $_4\checkmark$  as long as plot is valid, eg  $y$  against  $mL_3$*

1

suitable measuring instruments for  $L$  OR  $w$  OR  $t$   $3t$   $3\checkmark$

ANY ONE of the following (for more than one response mark as LIST)

for  $L$ : use ruler;

for  $w$ : use (any type of vernier) callipers; accept micrometer (screw gauge);

for  $t$ : use micrometer (screw gauge); accept digital / electronic (vernier) callipers

1

analysis:

suggests valid plot  $4\checkmark$

identifies correctly how  $E$  can be found from a valid plot  $5\checkmark$

for  $4\checkmark$  expect  $y$  [by itself or combined with another factor] on one axis and their independent variable [by itself or combined with another factor] on the other axis; do not insist on  $y$  as ordinate

1

for  $5\checkmark$   $E$  must be the subject; some examples include:

ordinate	abscissa	$E =$
$y$	$m$	$\frac{4 \times L^3 \times g}{w \times t^3 \times \text{gradient}}$
$mg$	$y$	$\frac{4 \times L^3 \times \text{gradient}}{w \times t^3}$
$y$	$L^3$	$\frac{4 \times m \times g}{w \times t^3 \times \text{gradient}}$
$y$	$\frac{4 \times L^3}{w \times t^3}$	$\frac{m \times g}{\text{gradient}}$
$\log y$	$\log m$	$\frac{4 \times g \times L^3}{w \times t^3 \times 10^{\text{intercept}}}$
$\log y$	$\log L$	$\frac{4 \times m \times g}{w \times t^3 \times 10^{\text{intercept}}}$

1

[7]